

# Technical Comments

TECHNICAL COMMENTS are brief discussions of papers previously published in this journal. They should not exceed 1500 words (where a figure or table counts as 200 words). The author of the previous paper is invited to submit a reply for publication in the same issue as the Technical Comment. These discussions are published as quickly as possible after receipt of the manuscripts. Neither AIAA nor its Editors are responsible for the opinions expressed by the authors.

## Comment on “Optimal Planner for Spacecraft Formations in Elliptical Orbits”

Prasenjit Sengupta\*

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3141

DOI: 10.2514/1.26015

### Introduction

ZANON and Campbell [1] have recently presented a method of optimal control for spacecraft formation mission planning and reconfiguration, using Carter’s solution [2] to the Tschauner–Hempel equations [3] as a basis. Reference [1] uses a spline function to approximate the forcing term appearing due to control in the relevant equations. The motivation behind the use of the spline function in this work is the lack of analytical solutions to certain key integrals. The purpose of this Comment is to show that the integrals in question can be solved for analytically.

### Analysis

Reference [1] states in the paragraph succeeding Eq. (16) that “a considerable amount of difficulty arises when attempting to evaluate  $Q(1)$  and  $Q(4)$  because no closed-form solution has been found for the integration of  $\rho^{-1}(\theta) K(\theta)$ .” The development in the succeeding sections in [1] then uses a spline function that approximates this integral, with varying degrees of accuracy, dependent on the eccentricity of the problem, and number of break points in the spline function.

For practical applications, the spline function is shown to be a good approximation. This is shown in Fig. 1 of [1]. The position error is as low as  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  m in some cases, and  $1 \times 10^{-1}$  m with 60 break points and for an eccentricity of 0.95. However, the integral in question can be solved analytically. Let  $I(\theta)$  denote this integral

$$I(\theta) = \int \frac{1}{\rho(\theta)} K(\theta) d\theta \quad (1)$$

where,

$$K(\theta) = \int \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho(\theta)^4} d\theta \quad (2)$$

$$\rho(\theta) = 1 + e \cos \theta \quad (3)$$

A change of variable of integration from true anomaly  $\theta$  to eccentric anomaly  $E$  that was employed by Carter [2] to solve for  $K(\theta)$ , can also be used to solve for  $I(\theta)$ . The following relations are known [4]

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\cos E - e}{1 - e \cos E}, \quad \sin \theta = \frac{\eta \sin E}{1 - e \cos E} \quad (4a)$$

$$\cos E = \frac{\cos \theta + e}{1 + e \cos \theta}, \quad \sin E = \frac{\eta \sin \theta}{1 + e \cos \theta} \quad (4b)$$

where  $\eta = \sqrt{1 - e^2}$ . It follows that  $d\theta = \eta dE / (1 - e \cos E)$ . As shown in [2], the solution to  $K(\theta)$  is

$$K(\theta) = \int \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho(\theta)^4} d\theta = -\frac{1}{\eta^5} \int (1 - e \cos E)^2 \sin^2 E dE \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta^5} \left( E - \frac{e}{2} \sin E - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2E + \frac{e}{6} \sin 3E \right) \quad (6)$$

In the case of the integral  $I(\theta)$ , the following relation is used:

$$\frac{d\theta}{\rho(\theta)} = \frac{d\theta}{1 + e \cos \theta} = \frac{1}{\eta} dE \quad (7)$$

Consequently,

$$I(\theta) = \int \frac{1}{\rho(\theta)} K(\theta) d\theta = \int \frac{1}{\eta} K(\theta) dE \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\eta^6} \left( E^2 + e \cos E + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2E - \frac{e}{9} \cos 3E \right) \quad (9)$$

A similar integral that appears in Eqs. (11) and (14) of [1], given by  $\rho(\theta)^{-2} K(\theta)$ , can be solved for using the above steps, by noting that:

$$\frac{d\theta}{\rho(\theta)^2} = \frac{1}{\eta^3} (1 - e \cos E) dE \quad (10)$$

Even though the solution to  $I(\theta)$  is composed of terms involving  $E^2$ , the entire expression can be written in terms of  $K(\theta)^2$ , and harmonics of  $\theta$ . Of these terms,  $K(\theta)$  needs to be evaluated once in the entire procedure, and its value can be used to calculate  $I(\theta)$  as well as the integral of  $\rho(\theta)^{-2} K(\theta)$ .

### Conclusion

Since  $Q(1)$  and  $Q(4)$  can be solved for analytically, the authors’ approach in [1] can be implemented using closed-form solutions to these integrals, instead of spline approximations.

Received 20 June 2006; accepted for publication 3 July 2006. Copyright © 2006 by Prasenjit Sengupta. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

\*Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Aerospace Engineering; prasenjit@tamu.edu. Student Member AIAA.

### References

- [1] Zanon, D. J., and Campbell, M. E., "Optimal Planner for Spacecraft Formations in Elliptical Orbits," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2006, pp. 161–171.
- [2] Carter, T. E., "State Transition Matrices for Terminal Rendezvous Studies: Brief Survey and New Examples," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, Vol. 21, No. 1, 1998, pp. 148–155.
- [3] Tschauner, J. F. A., and Hempel, P. R., "Rendezvous zu einem Elliptischer Bahn umlaufenden Ziel," *Astronautica Acta*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 1965, pp. 104–109.
- [4] Battin, R. H., *An Introduction to the Mathematics and Methods of Astrodynamics*, revised ed., American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., Reston, VA, 1999, pp. 158–161.